

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/658,754	09/10/2003	Nobuo Nakano	21398-00034-US	3409	
30678	7590 12/22/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
CONNOLLY BOVE LODGE & HUTZ LLP			COLE, MONIQUE T		
SUITE 800 1990 M STRI	EET NW		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGT	ON, DC 20036-3425		1743		
			DATE MAILED: 12/22/200	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary		10/658,754	NAKANO ET AL.	
		Examiner	Art Unit	
		Monique T. Cole	1743	,
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	appears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address	1
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RECHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING asions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory et or reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by seply received by the Office later than three months after the new patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATE 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a repn. eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH tatute, cause the application to become ABAI	ATION. ly be timely filed IS from the mailing date of this communication NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
2a)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>O</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) Since this application is in condition for allocated in accordance with the practice und	This action is non-final. wance except for formal matter	• •	is
Dispositi	on of Claims	•		
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are pending in the applica 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction are	drawn from consideration.		
Applicati	on Papers			
10)	The specification is objected to by the Exar The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co The oath or declaration is objected to by the	accepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyand rrection is required if the drawing(s)	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121	(d).
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119			
a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for force All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International Business the attached detailed Office action for a	nents have been received. nents have been received in App priority documents have been re reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	olication No eceived in this National Stage	
2) Notic 3) Inform	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SE r No(s)/Mail Date) Paper No(s)/	mmary (PTO-413) Mail Date nmal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claims 1-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1 currently reads:

"A formaldehyde detecting material comprising a tabular base material containing silica gel and having impregnated therein at least in a gas reaction zone a coloring liquid containing 4-amino-4-phenyl-3-ene-2-one and a buffer; wherein said gas reaction zone being available for formaldehyde to react with said 4-phenyl-3-ene-2-one."

This claim language is unclear because of the underlined portions. How is the gas reaction zone impregnated in the tabular base material? What does applicant contemplate by the phrase "at least in a gas reaction zone"? Are the words "at least in" necessary—what do they add to the claim? How is the gas reaction made "available" to react with the 4-phenyl-3-ene-2-one/coloring liquid? Absent answers to the above questions, it is difficult to ascertain what components make up the claimed formaldehyde detecting material. Additionally, applicant may consider using punctuation to separate the clauses describing the claimed components of the formaldehyde detecting material. Further clarification and/or correction is required.

Application/Control Number: 10/658,754 Page 3

Art Unit: 1743

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments filed 10/6/2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive, regarding the pending 35 USC 112, 2nd paragraph rejection. The pending clarity issues are discussed above.

4. Applicant's arguments, see remarks, filed 10/6/2005, with respect to Akiba have been fully considered and are persuasive. The rejection of the claims has been withdrawn.

However, the Examiner would like to state, for the record, that applicant's description of the claimed invention was mischaracterized in his discussion of the differences between the claimed invention and Akiba. Namely, applicant stated, "the claims relate to a product of a tabular base material containing silica gel as well as the 4-phenyl-3-ene-2-one and a buffer. This statement is incorrect. There is no mention of a buffer in the broadest claim and this statement does not incorporate the presence of some sort of "gas reaction zone."

Conclusion

5. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

Art Unit: 1743

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Monique T. Cole whose telephone number is 571-272-1255. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday, Tuesday & Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jill Warden can be reached on 571-272-1267. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Monique T. Cole Primary Examiner Art Unit 1743

mtc